UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,606	03/31/2004	Robert Joseph Angen	64367.000002	4352
21967 7590 03/05/2010 HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP			EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			KRAMER, JAMES A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	
2	RECORD OF ORAL HEARING
3	
4	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
5	
6	
7	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
8	AND INTERFERENCES
9	
10	
11	Ex parte ROBERT JOSEPH ANGEN, and JOHN GARRETT PENN II
12	
13	
14	Appeal 2009-010449
15	Application 10/813,606
16	Technology Center 3600
17	
18	
19	Oral Hearing Held: February 4, 2010
20	
21	
22	Before MURRIEL E. CRAWFORD, ANTON W. FETTING, and
23	JOSEPH A. FISCHETTI, Administrative Patent Judges.
24	
25	APPEARANCES:
26	
27	
28	ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT:
29	
30	GEORGE Y. WANG, ESQUIRE
31	Hunton & Williams
32	1900 K Street, N.W.
33	Washington, D.C. 20006-1109
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	

1

- 2 The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, February
- 4, 2010, commencing at 1:31 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office,
- 4 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia, before Paula Lowery, Notary
- 5 Public.
- 6 THE CLERK: Good afternoon. Calendar Number 37, Mr. Wang.
- 7 JUDGE CRAWFORD: Good afternoon.
- 8 MR. WANG: Good afternoon. I'll begin by thanking the Board for the time
- 9 to hear Appellants' arguments in the case.
- 10 If I may begin with a brief introduction to the invention --
- 11 JUDGE CRAWFORD: Would you introduce yourself?
- 12 MR. WANG: My name is George Wang, an attorney from Hunton &
- Williams, Registration 58,637.
- 14 I'm not sure who this is.
- 15 JUDGE CRAWFORD: It's a public hearing.
- 16 MR. WANG: If I may begin with a brief description of our fun and exciting
- invention and briefly describe the claim features. Then I'll discuss the main
- arguments I want to present today.
- 19 Basically, our system is a display system, which is fun and unique in that it's
- 20 geared for collectibles, sports memorabilia, model cars; and it's presented in
- such a way that it has removable mounting assemblies so you can remove
- 22 this from the shelf. It has the capability of being viewed favorably by
- anyone standing around this display system.
- 24 There's several embodiments that sort of capture the fact that these mounting
- assemblies could be angled, could be perpendicular, could be clear. So from

Application 10/813,606

- 1 whatever angle the person viewing the display can see these objects within
- 2 the display from various angles.
- 3 Directing our attention to Claim 1, which is the independent claim, has been
- 4 rejected under 102(b) using reference U.S. 606,889 to Gregory.
- 5 Just a brief description of the claims, we have claimed here a shelf assembly
- 6 as a first and second side, a wall mounting assembly for mounting to the
- 7 wall, which has a sliding mechanism, so that a shelf-mount assembly can
- 8 slide in and out of it.
- 9 The shelf-mount assembly is also removably mounted to a shelf assembly.
- 10 The shelf assembly is the piece in which the object, such as the baseball,
- 11 model car, football is placed for viewing.
- 12 That's the limitation that I wanted to kind of argue today. The Examiner
- appears to think that this shelf-mount assembly is taught in the Gregory
- reference, however, the shelf-mount assembly is not. At least not in the way
- 15 that it's claimed.
- 16 The shelf-mount assembly, which the limitation in Claim 1 says that it is for
- mounting to one or more wall mounting assemblies through the sliding
- mechanism, and to removably mounting the shelf assembly.
- 19 In other words, this shelf-mount assembly forms the integral piece between
- 20 the actual shelf that holds the object for display and the part that's
- 21 permanently connected to the wall. This shelf-mount assembly is removably
- attached to both. The wall-mount assembly into the shelf assembly.
- 23 From the Gregory reference, the Examiner actually presents several ways to
- 24 interpret their particular system. In Gregory the system that's presented
- 25 there, number one, is not a shelf display type assembly. Rather it's a
- 26 typewriter holder from the 1800s.

- 1 What this typewriter holder does is it connects to a desk, not a wall, and it
- 2 has a pivot which pins H and I can go into. Now, H is directly integrally
- 3 connected to the rest of the bracket, which can be seen in Parts F, J, M, L.
- 4 All those are one integrated piece.
- 5 The Examiner has asserted that that entire piece is actually two pieces in our
- 6 claimed invention.
- 7 JUDGE FETTING: Why is that improper?
- 8 MR. WANG: Because we claim in three that it's removably mounting the
- 9 shelf assembly.
- 10 JUDGE FETTING: Well, I'm looking at I think it's Figure 1. It would
- appear it is removably mounted to the wall mounting assembly, number A.
- 12 The pivot pins will allow you to remove it from the wall mount.
- 13 MR. WANG: That's true, but it's not removably mounting from the shelf
- 14 assembly itself.
- 15 JUDGE FETTING: Okay.
- 16 MR. WANG: For example, pin H is what the Examiner is citing or asserting
- is the shelf-mount assembly.
- 18 JUDGE FETTING: It's not clear to me from the claim that the shelf-mount
- assembly has to be removable from the shelf assembly.
- 20 MR. WANG: The claim as read says a shelf-mount assembly for: (1)
- 21 mounting to one or more wall mounting assemblies through the slotting
- 22 mechanism.
- 23 JUDGE FETTING: Right.
- 24 MR. WANG: And (2) removably mounting the shelf assembly.
- 25 JUDGE FETTING: Right.

- 1 MR. WANG: So from the claim language the shelf-mount assembly can be
- 2 removably mounted onto the shelf assembly.
- 3 JUDGE FETTING: I don't see that. It says removably mounting the shelf
- 4 assembly. I read that to mean removably mounting the shelf assembly onto
- 5 what's the equivalent of the wall-mounting assembly.
- 6 MR. WANG: Well, if you look at the second claim limitation then --
- 7 JUDGE FETTING: Yes.
- 8 MR. WANG: -- because there's similar language, and it's interpreted the
- 9 same way that I'm trying to interpret it here.
- 10 If you look it says: one or more wall-mounting assemblies for mounting a
- wall and comprising a slot mechanism, and I'm going to quote this, "for
- removably mounting a shelf-mount assembly."
- 13 That language is similar to the language that we have in Claim 3, yet there's
- 14 no miscommunication or understanding that it's the sliding mechanisms for
- removably mounting the shelf-mount assembly. That it can be removable
- 16 from the slotting mechanism and the shelf-mount assembly.
- 17 In this case we're talking a shelf-mount assembly is also removably
- 18 mounting the shelf assembly.
- 19 So there's similar language used in the first part of that second limitation to
- 20 describe how the slotting mechanism is removably mounting a shelf-mount
- 21 assembly. That's why -- it's consistent with the interpretation, and the
- 22 Examiner hasn't, you know, interpreted that another way.
- 23 JUDGE FETTING: To me they both are saying you can remove the shelf
- and shelf mount from the wall mount. I'm not seeing anything in the claim
- 25 that says that the shelf mount has to be removed from the shelf. I'm just not
- seeing it in the claim.

- 1 MR. WANG: The way I'm looking at this claim, you guys understand in
- 2 Claim 3 it says shelf-mount assembly for removably mounting the shelf
- 3 assembly.
- 4 JUDGE FETTING: Okay, but it does not say from what, right? It just says
- 5 removably mounting the shelf assembly.
- 6 MR. WANG: Yes.
- 7 JUDGE FETTING: So we're left with claim construction. I'm construing it
- 8 to say removably mounting the shelf assembly from the wall-mounting
- 9 assembly, and there's nothing in the claim that suggests that's an improper
- 10 construction that I can see.
- 11 MR. WANG: What I'm suggesting in terms of claim construction then, if
- 12 you look at claim limitation 2 --
- 13 JUDGE FETTING: Okay.
- MR. WANG: -- because there doesn't seem like there's a discrepancy when
- we look at that claim -- at that portion of the limitation.
- 16 If you look at Claim 2, the end of line 1 for the limitation, it says: "A
- slotting mechanism for removably mounting a shelf-mount assembly."
- 18 That's similar language to what we're using in that third limitation regarding
- 19 the shelf-mount assembly.
- 20 But there's a clear understanding there that the slotting mechanism is
- 21 removably mounted from the shelf-mount assembly.
- 22 JUDGE FETTING: Right. Again, from the wall-mounting assembly. In
- both 2 and 3 it's saying you can remove the wall-mount assembly -- the
- shelf-mount assembly, from the wall-mount assembly.
- 25 MR. WANG: That's what the first limitation that we're talking about does.
- 26 JUDGE FETTING: Right.

Application 10/813,606

- 1 MR. WANG: If you look at the language as we're reading, it says: "A slot
- 2 mechanism for removably mounting a shelf-mount assembly." So what
- 3 that's saying is this wall mount has a slot, which we call it a slot mechanism,
- 4 right?
- 5 JUDGE FETTING: Right.
- 6 MR. WANG: It's removably mounting a shelf assembly. That's the
- 7 triangular piece in our Figure 4, okay? That's the shelf-mount assembly, and
- 8 it's removably mounting that piece.
- 9 We can read that claim, and we can understand that those two pieces that
- we're talking about -- the slotting mechanism and the shelf-mount assembly
- -- they're removable from each other.
- 12 JUDGE FETTING: I'm sorry, what's removable from each other?
- 13 MR. WANG: The shelf-mount assembly and the slotting mechanism.
- 14 JUDGE FETTING: Okay. The pin is removable from the slot, right.
- MR. WANG: Yes, so when we read that limitation, we read it as a slotting
- mechanism for removably mounting a shelf assembly.
- 17 JUDGE FETTING: Right.
- 18 MR. WANG: It's clear, at least to my understanding from the panel, it's
- 19 clear that when we have that piece that's mounted to the wall which has the
- 20 slotting mechanism, and in the language as stated -- "for removably
- 21 mounting a shelf-mount assembly" -- it's clear it's those two pieces that we're
- talking about that are removable from one another.
- Here what I'm trying to argue in Claim 3, the language "the shelf-mount
- assembly for removably mounting a shelf assembly," which is the same
- 25 claimed interpretation, so to speak.

Application 10/813,606

- 1 If you look at the pieces we're talking about, we're talking about the shelf-
- 2 mount assembly now, and it's removably mounting the shelf assembly.
- 3 So if you're saying both of these limitations apply to removing from the
- 4 wall, my argument is that the first one is talking about removing from the
- 5 slot and shelf assembly. That's consistent with my understanding of your
- 6 interpretation of the claim construction.
- 7 Now, if we take that same construction, the same way we're reading that
- 8 claim and understanding those two pieces and how they are removable from
- 9 one another, if we take that same interpretation to the limitation here
- regarding the shelf-mount assembly, we're talking about the two pieces.
- Again, the interpretation would be that the shelf-mount assembly is
- removably mounting the shelf assembly, which is the argument that
- 13 Appellants have been making with the Examiner and we present here to the
- 14 Board.
- 15 That's the distinguishing feature that we have regarding the shelf assembly
- and the shelf-mount assembly.
- 17 JUDGE FETTING: I understand your point.
- 18 MR. WANG: Are there any further questions?
- 19 JUDGE FETTING: I don't have any other questions.
- 20 JUDGE CRAWFORD: No.
- 21 MR. WANG: Thank you.
- Whereupon, the proceedings at 1:45 p.m. were concluded.

23

24

25